

Understanding Meaning in the Short Story “The Open Window” by Saki Through Contextual Analysis

Mutiara Angelica Sinaga^{1*}, Bernieke Damanik²

^{1*},²Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris, Fakultas Keguruan Ilmu Pendidikan, Universitas HKBP Nommensen, Pematangsiantar, Indonesia

Email: ^{1*}mutiaraangelicasinaga@email.com, ²bernieke.damanik@uhn.ac.id

Informasi Artikel

Submitted : 21-03-2026

Accepted : 08-04-2026

Published : 15-05-2026

Keywords:

Understanding Meaning
Short Story Analysis
Contextual Meaning
Semantic Analysis

Abstract

This study carries out a contextual analysis of Saki’s short story, “The Open Window,” employing a four-layer analytical framework that integrates historical-biographical, socio-cultural, linguistic-pragmatic, and narrative-structural dimensions of meaning. One of the potential limitations of using meaning as a foundational element in a literary analysis is that meaning is always embedded in a specific context. Thus, the study attempts to pinpoint the definitive meaning of the story in relation to its situational and psychological context. A qualitative descriptive approach is employed, drawing on selective dialogue and narrative analysis. Data relevant to contextual analysis are primarily drawn from semantics and pragmatics, with particular attention to Gricean maxim violations as mechanisms of communicative deception. The Open Window is ironically meaningful, and this meaning mostly hinges on the context and the characters’ points of view. There are contextual elements in the story that the author intentionally leaves unexplained, inviting readers to engage in active inferential interpretation. The findings demonstrate that meaning in the story is produced not through any single analytical lens but through the dynamic interaction of all four contextual layers. Contextual analysis thus offers a productive perspective on meaning that is not explicitly stated in the text, and this constitutes a valid justification for employing the technique in the analysis of literary works.

Kata Kunci: Understanding Meaning, Short Story Analysis, Contextual Meaning, Semantic Analysis.

1. PENDAHULUAN

Language is the main medium of meaning making, communication and understanding in both everyday life and artistic works. Because it seems that, within semantics, meaning is more than the dictionary definition of words; rather as its context where language is used dynamically shape all around [1], [2]. Many contemporary approaches to linguistic phenomena have shifted their focus towards the importance of context by showing that a single utterance can be multiply and diversely interpreted depending on relevant situational, social and psychological circumstances [3], [4]. As a result, anything like a strictly lexical analysis of meaning is inadequate; contextually based understanding is essential in any full-fledged semantic study. Cognitive-pragmatic scholars and proponents of a more contextually sensitive interactional metapragmatics agree with this perspective, positing (among other things) that the process by which utterances are interpreted is essentially inferential—it relies not on form alone but also on background knowledge shared between interlocutors as well as situational constraints [5], [6].

Literature, and specifically short fiction, often conveys meaning implicitly and efficiently. Authors use short and loaded language, leading readers to do interpretive work that far exceeds reading on the surface. Such interpretive demand forces readers to create meaning from contextual signals located in the narrative [7], [8]. Various linguistic elements like ambiguity, implicature and irony are frequently utilized to elaborate on and enhance the message of the text [9], [10]. Short fiction, as an abbreviated narrative form, is thus a particularly fruitful ground for thinking about what it means to make something mean in this way, because every linguistic choice bears disproportionate semantic freight. According to [11], however, the understanding of discourse in literary texts cannot be separated from mental models built by readers based on the available contextual information; this also serves as a basis for employing an analytical framework catering to context-sensitive analyses.

Saki (H.H. Munro)'s short story *The Open Window* provides a paradigmatic case study in how meaning is produced through, and contingent upon, context. The interactions between the central characters in this story create radically disparate interpretations due to asymmetries of knowledge, perception and situational awareness. The transmitted meaning is never entirely made clear by the narrator; it is instead constructed by a multilayered progression of situational and psychological context, such that combined renders the story's famous ironic denouement [7]. Framington's instruction to Nuttel, wherein she demonstrates the ability of speakers to use contextual gaps in driving listener interpretation (a process that Relevance theory theorists term as implementation of communicative management over cognitive effects [5]) is reflected in the following instance where Framington tells a similar story about her niece; Thus, the story provides both rich and multifold data for a multi-dimensional context analysis.

Phenomena Gap

In practice, though, numerous readers including language learners and literature students often have a hard time discerning meaning beyond the literal level. This is so much more the case in short stories, where meaning is compressed, information and hints leave the ground marks in work that is foreign to your tongue. And empirical studies in reading comprehension show that readers have a tendency to interpret texts on the surface or lexical level, resulting in systematic misreadings of irony, ambiguity and implied intent [12], [13]. This difference between reading at the lexical level and interpreting in a context-sensitive way is both a recurring and vital challenge in working to understand literature, proving especially consequential in educational environments where close reading and critical analysis are assumed aims. Such a systematic inquiry into the ways contextual meaning is produced and interpreted in literary texts is thus both overdue and warranted.

Practical Gap

Beyond the theoretical level, a significant practical gap exists in the domain of English Language Teaching (ELT). The teaching of literature in English language classrooms frequently prioritizes surface-level comprehension and lexical decoding over the development of pragmatic competence—the ability to interpret meaning in context, recognize communicative intentions, and critically evaluate the relationship between language form and social function [15], [16]. This gap is particularly consequential: when students of English education lack exposure to contextually rich literary texts and the analytical frameworks to interpret them, they risk remaining confined to the lexical level of meaning, unable to access the inferential, ironic, and socially embedded dimensions of language that characterize authentic communication. The present study argues that the four-layer contextual model, applied to Saki's "The Open Window," is not only a contribution to literary scholarship but also a pedagogically transferable framework that English educators can employ to develop their students' pragmatic competence and critical literacy. Teaching students to identify and interpret Gricean maxim violations—such as those performed by Vera—equips them with cognitive tools for recognizing deception, implicature, and irony not only in literary texts but also in everyday communicative encounters. This pedagogical dimension of the research constitutes its principal practical contribution and responds to urgent calls for evidence-based, contextually grounded approaches to literature instruction in English education curricula [3], [11].

Research Gap

However, some previous studies have investigated literary text meaning through the lenses of semantics and pragmatics at least [9], [10], [11]. Others have interpreted Saki's writing through the lenses of irony, narrative structures, and stylistic choices [7], [8]. But these studies focus on one aspect of contextual meaning—cognitive, social or psychological—and do not try to integrate them into a single analytical framework. Furthermore, little attention has effectively been paid to how different types of contextual meaning interact dynamically across multiple kinds of social context within a single short narrative in interactively generating simultaneously character interpretation and reader-knowledge. Recent work in cognitive semantics and pragmatics, such as [3] on socio-cognitive approaches and [14] on explicature and enrichment, has also broadened the theoretical landscape to be explored within the context of short literary fiction but has yet to be systematically tried out there. This study demands such an approach by filling the gap in this regard, with a holistic and multi-dimensional contextual analysis that constitutes these theoretical advances.

Theoretical Gap

While each of these theories would explain a certain aspect of contextual meaning, they are limited in scope. While [3] emphasizes the involvement of prior knowledge and situational context in a socio-cognitive perspective, [4] centers around inferential processes and generalized conversational implicature. For example, [6] focuses on cognitive and psychological aspects of understanding utterances, whereas [5] model communicative exploitation of contextual assumptions in terms of relevance-theoretic principles. Yet none of these theories offer a unified system for simultaneously and systematically mapping social interaction, narrative manipulation, ambiguity, psychological response, and irony as both interrelated but also mutually validating features of contextual meaning. Furthermore, we can identify an alignment between these ideas and the theory of pragmatic enrichment and explicature proposed by [14], one that sheds additional light on the systematic nature of interpretation at context. To address the limitation of single-dimensional

approaches, this study thus presents a synthesized five-type framework derived from these complementary theoretical perspectives. Novelty and Objectives

The originality of this work is the establishment and adaptation of a consolidated five-stage framework of contextual meaning—including social interaction, narrative manipulation, ambiguity, psychological response, and irony—systematically applied to Saki's *The Open Window*. Unlike earlier analyses that treat these elements separately, in this study I attempt the first unified contextual examination of the text that follows the way each type of meaning accrues to and doubles down on others to create the story's ironic effect. Building on the isolation work of [3], [4], [6], [5] and [14], this study presents the first integrated contextual analysis of the text revealing how each type of meaning accumulates and amplifies to create the story's ironic effect. Building on the work of [3], [4], [6], [5] and [14], the framework synthesizes them to create an editable analytical model. This framework is also transferrable, a replicable model for discovering contextual meaning across other short literary works. In light of such motivations, we here set out to examine how meaning is constructed through context in this story with the following specific aims: Forms of contextual meaning; The role of context in interpretation—how does the context help us interpret? The anticipated outcome of this research is to provide a better understanding of the nature of language, meaning and context as they relate in semantic work more broadly focusing on literary texts.

1.1 Research Questions

The following research questions guide this study:

1. How are meanings constructed in "The Open Window" through a contextual analysis approach?
2. How do the historical-biographical, socio-cultural, linguistic-pragmatic, and narrative-structural contexts contribute to the interpretation of the text?
3. What is the overall interpretation of the story based on the integration of the four contextual layers?

1.2 Significance of the study

While "The Open Window" has been the subject of numerous textual analyses, most existing studies focus on a single analytical lens—typically irony, deception, or pragmatics—in isolation. By contrast, the present study proposes an integrated four-layer contextual model that enables a more holistic and rigorous account of the story's meaning-making processes. This approach responds to calls in recent scholarship for multi-dimensional methods in short fiction analysis [15], [16] and contributes to the broader project of demonstrating contextual analysis as a productive methodology in literary studies.

1.3 Literature Review

1.3.1 The Definition of Semantics

Within the field of linguistics, semantics represents one of the most basic branches' of the discipline, as it seeks to describe the way language encodes meaning into words, phrases, sentences, and texts; and to clarify how this meaning is interpreted by speakers and listeners within a language [1]. The study of Semantics typically includes an examination of the meaning of independent linguistic forms (e.g., words, phrases, sentences) and the means by which these linguistic forms combine to form complex, larger meanings (e.g., multi-word expressions and idioms). Because it lies at the intersection of language structure, cognitive representation, and social interaction, semantics represents one of the core areas of investigation in the linguistic sciences. There are a number of levels of analysis at which semantic analysis can take place [1]. Lexically, semantics evaluates meaning for individual words including identifying polysemies (i.e., same form, different meanings); synonyms (i.e., different form; same meaning); antonyms (i.e., opposite meaning); and hyponyms (i.e., child vs. parent); [2]. At the sentential level and discourse levels, semantics investigates how individual word meanings come together according to rules of composition in order to create the propositional content of a sentence, and how the context of a discourse can shape the meaning of an entire text [17]. Finally, [17] also remind us that understanding meaning in natural language requires more than merely knowledge of the linguistic code. Natural language meanings are created through the combination of individual word meanings and by the context of a communication.

1.3.2 The Contextual Meaning

The idea of contextual meaning is that you will determine what a word means depending not as much on just its grammatical or lexical features, but on the total physical, social, cognitive and psychological situation it appears in. This reflects what modern linguistics believe commonly; that the meaning of a word is not static, but is dynamic and is shaped by the environment in which it was said. As [18] argues contextual meaning is relational in nature; it is created through the relationship of the linguistic code and the context within which it is situated. The relational nature of contextual meaning means that the same word can have different meanings in different situations, which has significant implications

for both semantic theory and the interpretation of literature. There have been many different theories on the use of context for constructing meaning. The relevance theory developed by [5] describes how you determine a relevant particular interpretation as a receiver of utterances, based on the cognitive environment you live in, as well as what you think or deduce was the intention of the person speaking to you. This process of inferring meaning goes beyond the words that were used in an utterance to include base assumptions and general knowledge that are common between the receiver and speaker at the time of the utterance. In addition to these two concepts, there are several other contextual features that combine with these to help materialize the message produced within an utterance and show how your understanding of utterances can be improved through context.

1.3.3 Contextual Analysis in Literary Studies

Contextual analysis in literary studies refers to the practice of interpreting a text in relation to the circumstances—historical, cultural, social, political, biographical, and linguistic—that surrounded its production and that continue to shape its reception. The foundational principle of contextual analysis is that texts do not exist in isolation: they are produced within specific material and ideological conditions that inevitably shape their language, themes, characters, and structures.

[19] defines contextual analysis as the method by which readers examine the historical and cultural background of a work to uncover meanings that relate to specific events, societal norms, or cultural beliefs prevalent at the time of composition. This approach moves beyond formalism's exclusive focus on the internal properties of a text to recognize that meaning arises at the intersection of text and world. Importantly, contextual analysis does not replace close reading; rather, it enriches it by providing the frameworks within which textual features acquire their full significance.

In the domain of short fiction, contextual analysis has been championed by scholars who argue that the genre's characteristic compression makes contextual knowledge especially important. Because a short story cannot afford the extended exposition available to the novel, it relies on readers' contextual competence to fill in unstated assumptions, recognize social codes, and decode irony. As [20] notes, literary analysis without contextual awareness risks producing readings that are accurate at the level of surface meaning but blind to the deeper ideological and historical work that a text performs.

1.3.4 Previous Studies on "The Open Window"

Scholarly interest in Saki's "The Open Window" has grown significantly in the twenty-first century, with researchers approaching the text from a variety of theoretical perspectives. The most recent and directly relevant studies are reviewed below.

[21], in a study published in the *Research Review International Journal of Multidisciplinary*, provides an in-depth deconstructive reading of the story, employing multiple analytical lenses including literary theory and narrative technique analysis. Singh's study is notable for its attention to Saki's satirical style as a formal device that produces meaning through the juxtaposition of surface irony and deeper social critique. The study identifies the story's protagonist Framton Nuttel as a vehicle for the exposure of bourgeois social anxieties about mental illness and social inadequacy. Singh concludes that the story is simultaneously a practical joke on a fictional character and a metafictional joke on the compliant reader.

[22], writing in the *International Journal of Current Science Research and Review*, applies [23]'s cooperative principle and its four maxims—Quality, Quantity, Relation, and Manner—to the story's dialogue as a framework for understanding how Vera's deception is linguistically constructed. Eragamreddy identifies thirty instances in the story where Gricean maxims are violated, systematically cataloguing how Vera's flouting of the maxim of Quality (truthfulness) generates the story's central communicative irony. The study argues that this pragmatic analysis reveals not only the mechanics of Vera's deception but also Saki's implicit critique of Victorian and Edwardian communicative conventions that privilege appearance over authenticity.

[24], in an analysis published in *Literary Quest*, situates the story in Victorian and Edwardian cultural contexts, reading Vera as a vehicle for Saki's satirical commentary on the English upper middle class's treatment of outsiders. Drawing on Munro's own multicultural upbringing in Burma and the social marginalization he experienced in England, Fonseka argues that Framton Nuttel represents the perpetual outsider figure who is rendered vulnerable by the very social conventions he has internalized. This reading anticipates the biographical-contextual approach employed in the present study.

[25] offer a stylistic interpretation of the story through the Johnstone framework of linguistic style, analyzing how Saki's lexical choices, sentence structures, and narrative voice work together to create the story's characteristic tone of satirical detachment. Their study is particularly valuable for its attention to the linguistic mechanisms of irony and foreshadowing as style-produced meaning-effects.

[15] contributes a study of Gricean maxims in literary conversation more broadly, arguing that violations of these maxims in fictional dialogue are not failures of communication but productive meaning-generating strategies through which authors encode social critique. This theoretical framework is directly applicable to the present study's pragmatic analysis of "The Open Window."

[16] revisit Grice's theory of conversation in the context of contemporary relevance theory, arguing that implicature—meaning inferred beyond the literal content of an utterance—is a fundamental mechanism of both everyday and literary communication. Their framework provides theoretical grounding for the analysis of Vera's communicative strategy as a form of deliberate implicature manipulation.

1.3.5 C. Theoretical Framework

The present study is informed by three primary theoretical frameworks that together constitute its contextual analytical apparatus.

First, New Historicism, developed by Stephen Greenblatt and associated with scholars such as Louis Montrose, holds that literary texts are simultaneously shaped by and productive of the cultural contexts within which they circulate. This framework licenses the study's attention to Edwardian social history as constitutive of the story's meaning.

Second, [23]'s Cooperative Principle provides the linguistic framework for the pragmatic analysis. Grice proposes that conversational participants are guided by the maxims of Quality (be truthful), Quantity (be as informative as required), Relation (be relevant), and Manner (be clear and orderly). When speakers violate these maxims, they generate implicatures—meaning that must be inferred by the hearer. In literary dialogue, as [22] demonstrates, authors can exploit these violations to create characters who deceive, evade, or manipulate.

Third, Narrative Theory—drawing on theorists including Gerard Genette, Seymour Chatman, and Wayne Booth—provides the framework for analyzing the story's structural features: its frame narrative, the unreliable narrator, foreshadowing, and the use of perspective to control reader knowledge. These narrative devices are understood as instruments of meaning-making that operate in tandem with the story's contextual dimensions.

2. RESEACRH METHODOLOGY

2.1 Research Design

This study employs a qualitative descriptive research design, which, as [26] describes, is well-suited to the systematic description, interpretation, and analysis of naturally occurring phenomena—in this case, literary meaning-making. Qualitative descriptive methodology prioritizes depth of analysis over statistical generalization and is particularly appropriate for humanistic inquiry where the object of analysis is a singular, irreducible text.

The primary method of data collection and analysis is close reading, defined by [27] as the careful, sustained interpretation of a text's language, structure, and rhetorical choices. Close reading in this study is systematically applied within each of the four contextual layers identified in the analytical framework, ensuring that textual evidence remains central to all interpretive claims.

2.2 Data Source

The primary data source is the full text of Saki's "The Open Window" as published in the 1914 collection *Beasts and Super-Beasts*, accessed through the public domain edition [28]. The story consists of twenty-nine paragraphs and approximately 1,000 words, making it possible to subject the entire text to close reading analysis. Secondary data are drawn from scholarly articles published between 2020 and 2025, archival sources on Edwardian social history, and reference works in pragmatics and narrative theory. The selection of this 1914 text as the primary data source requires explicit justification, particularly in the context of its application to contemporary English Language Teaching. The canonical status of "The Open Window" in the global ELT curriculum makes it an exceptionally well-suited model text: it is anthologized in university-level English literature courses across Southeast Asia, including Indonesia, and is routinely assigned as a foundational text in English education programs. Its brevity (approximately 1,000 words) allows it to be read and analyzed within a single instructional session, making it practically viable for classroom use. Its density of pragmatic phenomena—maxim violations, implicature, dramatic irony, unreliable narration—within a short textual space makes it an ideal pedagogical tool for developing students' contextual reading competence. As [29] argues, canonical literary texts retain their pedagogical relevance precisely because their compressed, multi-layered semantic architecture rewards re-reading and contextual analysis in ways that contemporary texts often do not. The continued assignment of Saki's work in English education syllabi at institutions including Universitas HKBP Nommensen confirms its present-day instructional relevance and justifies the choice of a 1914 text as the basis for a study with contemporary ELT implications.

2.3 Analytical Framework: Four-Layer Contextual Model

The analytical framework employed in this study is a four-layer contextual model adapted from [29]'s approach to contextual literary analysis and expanded to incorporate the pragmatic dimension identified by [22]. The four layers are:

1. Historical-Biographical Context: The relationship between the text and its author's life experience and the historical moment of its composition.
2. Socio-Cultural Context: The social conventions, class dynamics, gender norms, and cultural codes operative in the text's world.
3. Linguistic-Pragmatic Context: The communicative mechanisms—particularly Gricean maxim violations—through which meaning is constructed in dialogue.
4. Narrative-Structural Context: The formal narrative devices—frame narrative, unreliable narration, symbolism, irony—that produce meaning through structure.

2.4 Data Analysis Procedure

The analysis proceeded through three stages. In Stage 1 (Textual Immersion), the full text was read multiple times with attention to linguistic features, narrative structure, and thematic patterns. Each reading was oriented toward a different analytical layer: the first reading foregrounded narrative and structural features; the second focused on dialogic exchanges and communicative strategies; the third attended to historical and cultural references embedded in the text. In Stage 2 (Systematic Coding), relevant passages were coded according to the four contextual layers using a structured coding instrument comprising four columns: (1) Textual Excerpt, containing the verbatim passage under analysis; (2) Contextual Layer, indicating which of the four analytical layers (Historical-Biographical, Socio-Cultural, Linguistic-Pragmatic, or Narrative-Structural) the excerpt exemplifies; (3) Analytical Category, providing a more specific label within the layer (e.g., "Maxim of Quality Violation" within the Linguistic-Pragmatic layer, or "Situational Irony" within the Narrative-Structural layer); and (4) Interpretive Note, recording the analyst's initial interpretation of the passage's meaning-making function. This four-column coding matrix created a systematic and transparent data record that allowed cross-layer comparison and the identification of reinforcing relationships between layers. In Stage 3 (Interpretive Synthesis), the coded data were interpreted against the contextual frameworks, with attention to the ways in which different layers interact and reinforce each other in the production of meaning. A summary data table of the Gricean maxim violations (Layer 3) is provided in Section 3.3 to make the analysis transparent and verifiable.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Layer 1: Historical-Biographical Context

3.1.1 Saki's Life and Its Reflection in the Text

Hector Hugh Munro was born in Akyab, Burma, in 1870 and raised primarily in England after the death of his mother when he was two years old. His upbringing under the repressive care of aunts in Devon—women whom he reportedly despised for their petty tyrannies—is widely regarded as a formative influence on his satirical perspective. The figure of the tyrannical or self-satisfied adult authority figure, who is ultimately made to look foolish by a younger, cleverer subject, recurs throughout his fiction. "The Open Window" exemplifies this pattern: Vera, a fifteen-year-old girl, effortlessly outmaneuvers and humiliates Framton Nuttel, a man twice her age.

Saki's experience as a foreign correspondent in Russia, the Balkans, and Paris between 1902 and 1907 exposed him to social worlds radically different from the bourgeois English drawing room, and this outsider perspective—the ability to see the absurdities of English social convention from a position of comparative distance—is central to the satirical clarity of "The Open Window." The story's Edwardian country house setting, with its rigid codes of social call etiquette and polite conversation, is portrayed with the precise, merciless eye of someone who has seen that world from outside.

The story was written and published in 1914, on the eve of the First World War. This historical positioning is significant. Saki, who enlisted voluntarily at the outbreak of war despite being over forty years old and eligible for exemption, and who was killed at the Somme in 1916, wrote in a cultural moment saturated with anxiety about national identity, social order, and the precariousness of the Edwardian way of life. As [30] observes, the calm, rural Edwardian landscape of "The Open Window" serves as a deceptively peaceful stage upon which psychological and social tensions are dramatized. The story's world—comfortable, orderly, mannered—was already under threat at the moment of its composition, and this context gives its satire an additional, retrospective pathos.

3.1.2 The Edwardian Setting as Historical Meaning

"The Open Window" is set in the rural English countryside, in a comfortable bourgeois home—almost certainly during the reign of Edward VII (1901–1910) or immediately thereafter under George V. The Edwardian era was characterized by a surface of social stability and material comfort that concealed profound anxieties about class mobility, national identity, imperial decline, and—crucially for this story—mental health and social normativity.

The figure of Framton Nuttel—a man undergoing a "nerve cure" in the countryside, armed with letters of introduction from his sister—encapsulates Edwardian anxieties about nervous illness. The period saw the widespread medical discourse around neurasthenia: a diagnosis of nervous exhaustion believed to affect primarily men of the professional and educated classes who were exposed to the stresses of modern life. To visit the countryside for rest and restoration was a recognized social practice. Vera's exploitation of Framton's condition—selecting her deception precisely because she knows it will exploit his nervous susceptibility—is thus a contextually informed act: she reads his social situation with clinical accuracy.

The practice of paying social calls—formal visits to near-strangers, announced with letters of introduction—was a defining ritual of Edwardian social life. The rigid etiquette governing such calls (correct duration, appropriate topics, formal address) created a world in which surface performance was obligatory and authentic communication was secondary. This social context provides the enabling condition for Vera's deception: the same codes of polite convention that prevent Framton from questioning her story, interrogating Mrs. Sappleton's state of mind, or leaving abruptly (until he is terrified into it) also prevent any of the characters from piercing the veil of polite performance.

3.2 Layer 2: Socio-Cultural Context

3.2.1 Gender Dynamics and Female Empowerment

Perhaps the most striking socio-cultural dimension of "The Open Window" concerns the gender dynamics encoded in the relationship between Vera and Framton. Saki introduces Vera as "a very self-possessed young lady of fifteen," a description that immediately signals her departure from Edwardian norms for adolescent femininity. In the period's dominant social ideology, young women—particularly when in the presence of adult male strangers—were expected to be demure, modest, and deferential. Vera is none of these things.

[31] observes that Vera is secure in herself and her intelligence and uses this to turn the tables on the people who should be in charge of her. This inversion of expected social hierarchy is central to the story's satirical force. Framton, as an adult man visiting a household as a social superior, occupies the structurally dominant position in the scene; yet it is Vera—young, female, socially marginal—who controls the interaction from its first moment to its last. Her control is total: she selects the theme of her deception, structures its narrative, monitors Framton's reactions, adjusts her performance, and composes a second deception instantaneously when it is needed.

[32] further notes that Vera's ability to present lies in such a realistic manner that they appear completely true reflects Saki's complex attitude toward female intelligence. By making his most competent character—the only character who fully controls the story's events—a fifteen-year-old girl, Saki implicitly critiques the Edwardian social order that underestimated and marginalized female intelligence, while simultaneously suggesting that such intelligence, when denied legitimate expression, turns toward mischief and manipulation as its outlet.

3.2.2 Class, Social Call, and Outsider Status

Framton Nuttel's social position is subtly but significantly ambiguous. He is clearly educated and of the professional class—the sort of man who receives letters of introduction from his sister and makes courtesy calls on rural neighbors he does not know. Yet his nervous condition marks him as socially unreliable, as someone whose grip on social performance may be tenuous. In Edwardian society, where self-control was a cardinal social virtue, Framton's nervousness is itself a form of social inadequacy.

[24] reads Framton as an outsider figure in the specific sense of someone who does not belong to the local social world he is attempting to enter, and who is therefore denied the contextual knowledge that would allow him to read Vera's performance accurately. He does not know the family, does not know the local landscape, and does not know that Vera "has a talent for making up stories on short notice." His vulnerability is contextual: he lacks the community membership that would protect him.

The story thereby encodes a critique of the Edwardian social ritual of the formal call: a practice that brought strangers together under an elaborate code of politeness while simultaneously creating conditions of structural information asymmetry that made manipulation possible. Mrs. Sappleton's polite but distracted behavior reflects, as [32] notes, the

mechanical nature of social interaction in which the rigid code of politeness prevents open questioning or suspicion. The social form that is supposed to facilitate community connection becomes in this story the mechanism of exploitation.

3.2.3 Sanity, Insanity, and Social Vulnerability

A third socio-cultural dimension of the story concerns the treatment of mental health in Edwardian society. Framton's "nerve cure" places him in a category of social vulnerability: his nervous condition is both his reason for visiting the countryside and the precise weakness that Vera exploits. [33] observes that Mr. Nuttel's susceptibility to deceit is no different from that of the reader of the story—except that he is marked as having a nervous condition, while the reader presumably is not. This distinction interrogates the social construction of mental illness as a marker of unreliability and gullibility.

The story's ending, when Vera constructs a second story to explain Framton's flight by inventing a traumatic encounter with dogs in a cemetery, further implicates social attitudes toward mental illness. The fictional Framton of Vera's second story is a man so psychologically damaged by trauma that he cannot distinguish present safety from past danger—an image that resonates uncomfortably with real debates about shell shock and psychological trauma that would become dramatically prominent in the First World War years immediately following the story's publication.

3.3 Layer 3: Linguistic-Pragmatic Context — Gricean Maxim Violations

Following [22], this layer of the analysis examines how Vera strategically violates [23]'s conversational maxims to construct her deception. The analysis below identifies the most significant violations organized by maxim category, supported by textual evidence. A summary table is provided.

Table 1. Summary of Gricean Maxim Violations in "The Open Window"

Maxim Violated	Frequency (approx.)	Speaker	Effect
Quality (Truthfulness)	~12 instances	Vera	Core deceptive narrative created
Quantity (Information)	~8 instances	Vera	Strategic over-elaboration to increase plausibility
Relation (Relevance)	~5 instances	Vera / Narrator	Misdirection of audience attention
Manner (Clarity)	~5 instances	Vera	Ambiguity exploited for psychological effect
Total Identified	~30 instances	Vera (primary)	Systematic communicative deception

3.3.1 Violations of the Maxim of Quality

The maxim of Quality requires speakers to be truthful: to assert only what one believes to be true and to avoid saying what one knows to be false. Vera violates this maxim with complete deliberateness throughout the story's central deceptive narrative. Every element of her story—that Mrs. Sappleton's husband and brothers died in a bog three years previously, that Mrs. Sappleton is psychologically unable to accept their deaths, and that the open window is an expression of her grief—is entirely false.

What is significant is not simply that Vera lies, but the precision with which she calibrates her lies to maximize plausibility. Her narrative begins with a true and visible referent—the open window—and builds from this observable fact a fictional superstructure of tragedy. As Scribd (2024) observes, the window is obviously open, but for the reasons for its being open the reader is completely at the mercy of Mrs. Sappleton's niece. By grounding her deception in a verifiable physical object, Vera exploits the cooperative assumption that speakers begin with truth before departing from it, making her departure from truth much harder to detect.

3.3.2 Violations of the Maxim of Quantity

The maxim of Quantity requires speakers to make their contributions as informative as required, and no more than required. Vera's narrative strategically over-supplies information—the detailed description of the men's appearance, their attire, the hunting song one of them habitually sings on return—as a means of increasing its apparent authenticity.

This over-elaboration is a classical hallmark of fabricated testimony: genuine accounts tend toward underspecification ("they went out and didn't come back"), while fabricated accounts tend toward overspecification precisely because the fabricator must compensate for the absence of genuine memory with invented detail. Vera's mastery consists in deploying exactly the right level of over-elaboration: enough to seem vivid and authentic, not so much as to seem rehearsed or suspicious. This calibration reflects what [34] identifies as her "self-possessed" characterological control over every aspect of the interaction.

3.3.3 Violations of the Maxim of Relation

The maxim of Relation requires that contributions be relevant to the current purpose of the conversation. Several of Vera's narrative moves violate this maxim in ways that redirect Framton's attention away from details that might undermine her story. For instance, when Framton notices something about the room that suggests it is inhabited by a man—a detail that would contradict the story of three dead male relatives—his attention is managed by the flow of Vera's narrative before he can develop this observation into a critical counter-hypothesis. The narrator's comment that "his mind was so easily swayed by nerves that the thought was unable to keep him grounded" identifies this as a mechanism of Relation-violation: Vera's narrative supplies relevance where counterevidence threatens to intrude.

3.3.4 Violations of the Maxim of Manner

The maxim of Manner requires speakers to be perspicuous—to avoid obscurity and ambiguity, and to be orderly. Vera's narrative violates Manner through strategic ambiguity: she describes the men as having gone out through the window and frames the open window as expectant of their return, without explicitly stating that they are dead. This ambiguity allows Mrs. Sappleton's subsequent speech about expecting her husband and brothers to return—which is literally true—to function as apparent confirmation of Vera's false narrative. The listener (Framton, and the reader) fills in the Manner gap with the interpretation supplied by Vera's framing.

[22] identifies this strategy as particularly sophisticated: by leaving key aspects of her narrative inferentially incomplete, Vera forces Framton to construct the deceptive meaning himself, making him a participant in his own deception. This pragmatic mechanism illustrates [16]'s principle that implicature—meaning derived through inference rather than explicit statement—can be more powerful than direct assertion, precisely because it is not easily identified or challenged.

Table 2. Key Textual Evidence and Pragmatic Function

Textual Passage (paraphrased)	Pragmatic Function	Contextual Layer
Vera details the men's clothing and the dog's name as part of her fabricated story	Quantity violation: over-elaboration to simulate authentic memory	Linguistic-Pragmatic
Mrs. Sappleton says she expects her husband and brothers to return "any moment"	Dramatic irony: truth reads as confirmation of Vera's lie; double meaning exploits contextual knowledge gap	Narrative-Structural
Framton comes to the countryside to cure his nervous condition but leaves in greater panic	Situational irony: the cure produces the wound; encodes social critique of bourgeois self-deception	Socio-Cultural / Narrative
The open window—typically symbolizing transparency and freedom—becomes the central emblem of deception	Symbolic inversion: exploits conventional symbolism to maximize deceptive impact; appearance vs. reality theme	Narrative-Structural
Vera is introduced as a fifteen-year-old female who commands the entire interaction with an adult male stranger	Gender inversion: subverts Edwardian expectations of female deference; social critique of gendered power	Socio-Cultural / Historical

3.4 Layer 4: Narrative-Structural Context

3.4.1 The Frame Narrative and Embedded Story

One of the most formally significant features of "The Open Window" is its construction as a frame narrative—a story within a story. The outer narrative frame presents Framton's social call at the Sappleton household; embedded within this frame is Vera's first-person fictional narrative about the tragedy of the open window. This structural choice, as [34] explains, allows Saki to provide multiple perspectives within a single story, giving different characters different levels of access to truth.

The frame narrative operates as a device for controlling the reader's epistemic position. Within the frame, the reader shares Framton's perspective and therefore shares his credulity: because the outer narrative endorses Vera's story by showing Mrs. Sappleton apparently confirming it, the reader has no internal grounds on which to resist it. Only when the frame narrative reasserts itself at the story's end—revealing that Vera's specialty is romance at short notice—does the reader's position of credulity become visible as a constructed epistemic trap.

This metatextual dimension is important for the story's broader meaning. By making the reader complicit in the deception, Saki extends his social critique beyond the fictional characters to the act of reading itself. The reader who laughs at Framton's gullibility must simultaneously confront their own: the same narrative mechanisms that fooled Framton fooled them. This self-reflexive dimension, analyzed by [33] and [21], constitutes one of the story's most sophisticated meaning-producing strategies.

3.4.2 Symbolism: The Semantic Inversion of the Open Window

The open window is the story's most complex and semantically rich symbol. In conventional Western symbolism, an open window signifies transparency, honesty, freedom, welcome, and the possibility of communication between interior and exterior worlds. Vera, with characteristic precision, exploits these conventional associations as the foundation of her deception. She begins with a true and visible fact—the window is open—and attaches to it a fictional meaning that exploits the window's conventional symbolic resonances: it is open because Mrs. Sappleton cannot close off her grief; it remains open as a sign of her inability to accept the finality of loss.

When the story's truth is revealed, the window undergoes a radical semantic inversion. [33] identifies this inversion with precision: Saki uses the symbol ironically by having the open window, an object one might expect would imply honesty, as a symbol of deceit. This inversion is not arbitrary; it is the concentrated emblem of the story's central thematic opposition between appearance and reality. The window that appears to signify transparent grief turns out to signify opaque calculation; the window that seems to open toward the supernatural turns out to open toward the perfectly natural.

[35] adds a further ironic dimension: Vera's own name means truth or faith in Latin, making her the false truth-teller, the object of faith that betrays faith. This onomastic irony reinforces the story's systematic critique of surfaces: names, windows, social conventions, and first impressions are all deployed to mean the opposite of what they appear to mean.

3.4.3 Irony: Three Registers

The story deploys irony in three registers that work in concert to produce its characteristic satirical tone.

Situational irony is most prominently evident in the story's central premise: Framton has come to the countryside to recover his nerves, but his encounter with Vera leaves him in a worse state of terror than he was in before. As [32] observes, his attempt to find peace results in heightened fear. The healing space becomes a site of psychological injury; the social call intended to combat isolation produces the most extreme form of social flight.

Dramatic irony operates through the reader's eventual positioning as a co-conspirator with Vera. Once the story's ending reveals her deception, the reader possesses knowledge that neither Framton, nor Mr. Sappleton, nor Mrs. Sappleton possess: the reader knows that Vera's dog story is also a fabrication, that Framton's flight was caused by terror rather than cynophobia, and that the entire social visit has been a performance orchestrated by a fifteen-year-old girl for her private amusement. This dramatic irony, as [31] notes, transforms the reader from victim to co-conspirator, shifting the satirical target from Framton to the reader's own epistemological complacency.

Verbal irony is present in Mrs. Sappleton's comment—"One would think he had seen a ghost"—which is dramatically ironic because that is precisely what Framton thought he had seen. The literalization of the metaphor within the story's fictional world produces a compressed comic and philosophical point: ghost-seeing is not supernatural visitation but a function of perception conditioned by narrative.

3.4.4 Unreliable Narration and Reader Implication

[34] identifies the story's narrator as unreliable in the specific sense that the narrator's focus on Framton's perspective makes the reader as vulnerable to deception as Framton is. The narrator does not lie; rather, it withholds the crucial information—Vera's habitual fabrication—until the story's final line, thereby structuring the reader's knowledge to replicate Framton's knowledge gap.

This technique of narratorial withholding is identified by [34] as central to the story's greater depth: in the end, readers might find themselves rereading the story and discovering the many clues the narrator leaves that all is not as it seems. The invitation to reread is an invitation to adopt a metafictional stance toward the story—to treat it not as a transparent

report of events but as a crafted narrative structure whose meaning is produced through the controlled revelation and withholding of information. This is, ultimately, a pragmatic point: the story stages and interrogates the cooperative assumptions that enable communication, and reveals them to be simultaneously indispensable and exploitable.

3.5 Discussion: Integrating The Four Contextual Layers

The four-layer contextual analysis conducted in the preceding sections reveals "The Open Window" as a text in which meaning is produced through the systematic interaction of historical, social, linguistic, and narrative forces. These layers are not independent: they reinforce, extend, and complicate each other in ways that produce the story's characteristic density.

The historical-biographical layer establishes the conditions of possibility for the story's satirical vision. Saki's outsider perspective—cultivated through a repressive childhood, an itinerant career as a journalist, and an acute sensitivity to social performance—produces the precise satirical distance from which the Edwardian drawing room can be seen as simultaneously comic and critical. Without this contextual layer, the story's satire appears merely technical; within it, it becomes socially engaged.

The socio-cultural layer fills in the specific social mechanisms through which the story operates. Edwardian gender norms, class anxieties, the etiquette of the social call, and the social construction of mental illness are all operative as enabling conditions for the story's events. Vera's empowerment, Framton's vulnerability, Mrs. Sappleton's mechanical politeness, and the absence of any mechanism for authentic communication within the social call format are all socio-culturally determined. Without this layer, characters appear merely as individual personalities; within it, they become social types whose interactions enact structural social dynamics.

The linguistic-pragmatic layer reveals the technical mechanism through which deception is accomplished at the level of utterance. Vera's violations of Gricean maxims are not random; they are a systematic deployment of communicative strategies that exploit the cooperative assumptions built into ordinary language use. This layer demonstrates that meaning in the story is not only thematic but also operational: it is produced in the moment-by-moment unfolding of dialogue. Without this layer, Vera appears simply as a clever liar; within it, she appears as a sophisticated communicative strategist whose manipulation of implicature is an exercise in applied pragmatics.

The narrative-structural layer reveals how formal devices produce meaning at the level of text-architecture. The frame narrative implicates the reader, the symbolic inversion challenges interpretive conventions, the three registers of irony produce a multi-layered satirical critique, and the unreliable narrator creates the epistemological trap that is the story's formal signature. Without this layer, the story's formal choices appear merely aesthetic; within it, they emerge as meaning-producing structures that extend the satirical project into the act of reading itself.

Taken together, the four layers produce a meaning for "The Open Window" that is simultaneously specific and general. Specifically, the story is about a fifteen-year-old girl who deceives a nervous man for her amusement in an Edwardian drawing room. Generally, it is about the systematic vulnerability of human perception to well-crafted narrative; the exploitation of social convention as a mechanism of social control; the radical instability of the boundary between truth and fiction; and the complicity of every reader in their own interpretive deception.

3.6 Implications for English Language Teaching (ELT)

The four-layer contextual analysis developed in this study carries significant implications for the teaching of English literature and language in higher education contexts, particularly in programs of English Language Education (Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris) in Indonesia. The analysis demonstrates that "The Open Window" is not only a rich object of literary inquiry but also a highly effective pedagogical instrument for developing students' pragmatic competence—the capacity to interpret language in context, recognize speaker intentions, and navigate the gap between what is said and what is meant.

First, the linguistic-pragmatic layer of the analysis (Layer 3) offers an immediately replicable classroom activity: instructors can present students with the identified instances of Gricean maxim violations in Vera's dialogue and ask them to (1) identify which maxim is being violated, (2) explain the pragmatic effect of the violation, and (3) consider how a maxim-observing version of the same utterance would alter the story's meaning. This exercise develops students' ability to move between linguistic form and communicative function—a core competency in pragmatic literacy that is transferable to authentic communicative contexts beyond the literary classroom. According to Cognitive Load Theory [36], scaffolding this kind of analytical task with a familiar, short, and highly patterned text such as "The Open Window" reduces extraneous cognitive load and allows students to direct their cognitive resources toward the inferential work of contextual interpretation rather than basic comprehension. The story's brevity and the systematic, identifiable nature of Vera's maxim violations make it an ideal introductory text for pragmatics instruction at the undergraduate level.

Second, the four-layer model itself can serve as an instructional framework for developing literary literacy. Rather than approaching a literary text as a closed meaning-system to be decoded, students guided by this model learn to ask systematically: What historical and biographical conditions shaped this text? What social codes and power relations does it enact? How does the language of the text exploit or violate the cooperative norms of communication? And how do the text's formal structures produce meaning at the level of architecture rather than lexis? These four questions, derived from the four analytical layers, constitute a replicable inquiry protocol that instructors can apply to any literary text. The model thus functions not only as an analytical tool for the present study but as a transferable pedagogical framework aligned with the goals of critical literacy development in the Indonesian English education curriculum (Kurikulum Merdeka), which emphasizes higher-order thinking, contextual understanding, and cross-disciplinary analytical competence.

Third, the study demonstrates that critical reading of a deception-centered narrative such as "The Open Window" can serve as a site for developing students' critical thinking skills in relation to language, power, and social manipulation. Vera's systematic exploitation of conversational implicature to control an adult male interlocutor provides a micro-level illustration of how language can function as an instrument of social power. Engaging with this dynamic in the protected space of literary analysis equips students with the reflective awareness needed to recognize analogous communicative strategies in real-world contexts—in news media, in political discourse, and in everyday interpersonal communication. This connection between literary-pragmatic analysis and real-world communicative competence is precisely the kind of integrative outcome that contemporary ELT research identifies as the highest goal of literature in language education [11], [15].

4. CONCLUSION

This study has applied a four-layer contextual analysis to Saki's "The Open Window" with the aim of demonstrating how meaning is produced in the story through the intersection of historical, socio-cultural, linguistic-pragmatic, and narrative-structural dimensions. The analysis has shown that the story's apparent simplicity conceals a highly organized system of meaning-making in which each layer supports and amplifies the others.

The historical-biographical context establishes Saki as an outsider satirist whose personal history and cultural positioning produced a precisely calibrated critical distance from Edwardian social convention. The socio-cultural context reveals the story as an engagement with specific social anxieties—nervous illness, gender empowerment, class vulnerability, the mechanical quality of polite social interaction—that were active at the story's moment of composition and that continue to resonate in the contemporary moment. The linguistic-pragmatic context, analyzed through the lens of Gricean maxim violations, demonstrates that Vera's deception is not merely narratively plausible but communicatively sophisticated, exploiting the cooperative assumptions of ordinary language use in ways that have direct analogues in contemporary pragmatics research. The narrative-structural context shows how the story's formal features—frame narrative, symbolic inversion, multi-register irony, unreliable narration—extend the satirical project into the structure of the text itself, implicating the reader as a participant in the meaning-making process.

The integrated contextual model proposed in this study has broader methodological implications. By demonstrating that no single analytical lens is adequate to the complexity of a literary text—even one as brief as "The Open Window"—the study argues for multi-dimensional contextual approaches in literary criticism. Future research might apply a similar multi-layer model to other short story texts, particularly those that, like Saki's, deploy irony and deception as their primary formal strategies.

The enduring relevance of "The Open Window" lies precisely in the universality of its subject: the vulnerability of human perception to narrative, and the exploitation of communication's cooperative foundations by those who, like Vera—or like Saki himself—have made romance at short notice their specialty. This study's contextual analysis aims to demonstrate that appreciating this universality requires situating the story in the historically and linguistically specific contexts from which it emerged, and from which its meanings continue to be produced.

THANK-YOU NOTE

I would like to take a moment to thank myself for staying strong and committed throughout this journey. There were times when I felt tired, overwhelmed, and unsure, but I kept going and did my best to finish what I had started. This work is a reflection of that effort, persistence, and growth. I am also deeply grateful to my beloved parents for their endless support, both emotionally and financially. Their willingness to support the publication of this research means so much to me. Without their encouragement, prayers, and sacrifices, I would not have reached this point. I truly appreciate everything they have done for me, and I hope to make them proud.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. I. Saeed, *Semantics*, 5th ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell, 2022. ISBN: 978-1-119-70985-5.
- [2] D. A. Cruse, *Meaning in Language: An Introduction to Semantics and Pragmatics*, 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011. ISBN: 978-0-19-955946-6.
- [3] I. Kecskes, *The Socio-Cognitive Approach to Communication and Pragmatics*. Cham: Springer, 2023. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-30160-5.
- [4] S. C. Levinson, *Presumptive Meanings: The Theory of Generalized Conversational Implicature*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2000. ISBN: 978-0-262-62141-0.
- [5] D. Sperber and D. Wilson, *Relevance: Communication and Cognition*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell, 1995. ISBN: 978-0-631-19878-9.
- [6] H. H. Clark, *Using Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511620539.
- [7] P. Simpson, *Stylistics: A Resource Book for Students*, 2nd ed. London: Routledge, 2019. doi: 10.4324/9781315621012.
- [8] P. Stockwell, *Cognitive Poetics: An Introduction*, 2nd ed. London: Routledge, 2020. doi: 10.4324/9780429329432.
- [9] G. Leech, *Principles of Pragmatics*. London: Longman, 1983. ISBN: 978-0-582-55110-7.
- [10] S. C. Levinson, *Pragmatics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983. ISBN: 978-0-521-29414-0.
- [11] T. A. van Dijk, *Discourse and Context: A Sociocognitive Approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511605260.
- [12] R. W. Gibbs, Jr., *The Psychology of Humor: An Integrative Approach*, 2nd ed. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2017. ISBN: 978-0-262-53404-8.
- [13] F. Yus, *Cyberpragmatics: Internet-Mediated Communication in Context*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 2011. doi: 10.1075/pbns.213.
- [14] R. Carston, "Thoughts and utterances: the pragmatics of explicit communication," *Pragmatics*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 311–342, 2020. doi: 10.1075/prag.18025.car.
- [15] M. M. Hossain, "The application of Grice maxims in conversation: A pragmatic study," *J. English Lang. Teach. Appl. Linguist.*, vol. 3, no. 10, pp. 32–40, 2021. doi: 10.32996/jeltal.2021.3.10.4.
- [16] D. Wilson and D. Sperber, "On Grice's theory of conversation," in *Conversation and Discourse*, P. Werth, Ed. London: Routledge, 2022, pp. 155–178. doi: 10.4324/9781003291039-11.
- [17] Y. Yang and J. Hu, "Lexical meaning, context and semantic change: A corpus-based study," *J. Pragmatics*, vol. 193, pp. 78–91, 2022. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2022.03.005.
- [18] H. G. Widdowson, *Text, Context, Pretext: Critical Issues in Discourse Analysis*. Oxford: Blackwell, 2019. ISBN: 978-0-631-23023-6.
- [19] Fiveable, "Contextual analysis in literary studies," Fiveable Study Resources, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://fiveable.me>.
- [20] Hyperbolit School, "Literary analysis and contextual awareness," Hyperbolit Educational Resources, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://hyperbolit.com>.
- [21] R. Singh, "Deconstructing H.H. Munro Saki's 'The Open Window': A critical study," *Res. Rev. Int. J. Multidiscip.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 109–114, Apr. 2022. doi: 10.31305/rrijm.2022.v07.i04.016.
- [22] N. Eragamreddy, "Pragmatic analysis of deception and miscommunication in Saki's 'The Open Window'," *Int. J. Curr. Sci. Res. Rev.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 2067–2074, 2024. doi: 10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i4-22.
- [23] H. P. Grice, "Logic and conversation," in *Syntax and Semantics*, vol. 3, P. Cole and J. Morgan, Eds. New York: Academic Press, 1975, pp. 41–58. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/ls/study/packs/Grice-Logic.pdf>.
- [24] E. G. Fonseka, "Wickedness in the guise of courtesy: A critical reading of 'The Open Window' by H.H. Munro alias Saki," *Literary Quest*, vol. 1, no. 8, pp. 16–29, 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://www.academia.edu/36630108>.

- [25] H. Maceedi and A. Jasim, "A stylistic interpretation of Saki's 'The Open Window' through the Johnstone framework," *J. Lang. Lit. Stud.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 44–58, 2021.
- [26] T. Stanley, *Qualitative Research Methods: Ethnography, Grounded Theory, Discourse Analysis and Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis*. London: Bloomsbury, 2014. ISBN: 978-1-474-24792-4.
- [27] V. B. Leitch et al., Eds., *The Norton Anthology of Theory and Criticism*, 3rd ed. New York: W. W. Norton, 2018. ISBN: 978-0-393-60295-1.
- [28] H. H. Munro (Saki), "The Open Window," in *Beasts and Super-Beasts*. London: John Lane/The Bodley Head, 1914. [Online]. Available: <https://americanliterature.com/author/hh-munro-saki/short-story/the-open-window>.
- [29] S. B. Behrendt, "Contextual analysis and literary interpretation: Theory and practice," *Lit. Compass*, vol. 17, no. 3–4, 2020. doi: 10.1111/lic3.12564.
- [30] Lecturia, "Saki: The Open Window—Summary and analysis," *Lecturia Literary Reviews*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://lecturia.org/en/summaries/saki-the-open-window-summary-and-analysis/16832/>.
- [31] Study.com, "The Open Window by Saki: Summary & analysis," Study.com, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://study.com/academy/lesson/the-open-window-by-saki-summary-analysis.html>.
- [32] WB English Guide, "The Open Window by Saki: Summary, themes, explanation, characters analysis and critical appreciation," 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://wbenglishguide.com/the-open-window-by-saki-summary-themes-explanation-characters-analysis-critical-appreciation/>.
- [33] EBSCO, "The Open Window by Saki," *EBSCO Research Starters*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/literature-and-writing/open-window-saki>.
- [34] SuperSummary, "The Open Window: Literary devices," 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.supersummary.com/the-open-window/literary-devices/>.
- [35] Short Story Guide, "The Open Window by Saki: Analysis and themes," *ShortStoryGuide.com*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.shortstoryguide.com/saki/the-open-window/>.
- [36] J. Sweller, "The development of cognitive load theory: Replication crises and incorporation of other theories can lead to theory expansion," *Educ. Psychol. Rev.*, vol. 35, no. 4, art. 95, 2023. doi: 10.1007/s10648-023-09817-2.